# STARS-H: a High-Performance *H*-Matrix Market Library for Large-Scale Systems

#### A. Mikhalev H. Ltaief D. Keyes

Extreme Computing Research Center Computer, Electrical and Mathematical Sciences and Engineering Division King Abdullah University of Science and Technology

April 23, 2018

### What is STARS-H?

STARS-H stands for

Software for Testing Accuracy Reliability and Scalability of

Hierarchical computations.

#### Key reason

Every software for hierarchical matrices lives its own life: different programming system languages, different input format, different ways to store data and different target applications and hardware. This makes it difficult to compare results, reuse them in other software and present a "fair" list of best practices. **Salvation is standardization**.

## Why Hierarchical Matrices?

Hierarchical or  $\mathcal{H}$ -matrices are based on a simple geometric idea: interactions between groups of far-away objects can be described by a small amount of parameters. Example: celestial bodies. Such matrices are algebraic analogs of the famous Fast Multipole Method (FMM). Just like sparse matrices, they appear in many problems during discretization process.



Figure: Examples of mosaic partitioning into  $\mathcal{H}$ -matrix.

#### Why Hierarchical Matrices? N-body problems!

**N-body problem** (e.g. astrophysics, electrostatics): given "particles"  $\{x_i\}$  with "charges"  $\{q_i\}$ , find "potentials"  $\{p_i\}$ , such that

$$p_i = \sum_j f(x_i, x_j) q_j$$

where  $f(x_i, x_j)$  is a "potential" at  $x_i$ , created by a "particle" in  $x_j$  with a unit charge. If f is asymptotically smooth, then:



Figure: Examples of mosaic partitioning into  $\mathcal{H}$ -matrix.

## Why Hierarchical Matrices? Boundary integrals!

1. Assume boundary problem:

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} Lu(x)=0, & x\in\Omega,\\ Du(x)=\phi(x), & x\in\partial\Omega. \end{array} \right.$ 

2. Let G(x, y) be Green's function of operator L in a free space, then:

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} G(x,y)w(y)dy,$$

with some **weight** function w(y).

3. Kernel K(x, y), defined as:

$$K(x,y)=DG(x,y),$$

- is, usually, asymptotically smooth.
- 4. Get boundary integral equation

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} K(x,y)w(y)dy = \phi(x), x \in \partial\Omega,$$

which is equivalent to initial problem in item 1.

Discretizations of Boundary Integral Equation with asymptotically smooth kernels lead to hierarchical matrices (e.g. single/double layer potential).

### Why Hierarchical Matrices? Fractional derivatives!

Fractional derivatives appear in different non-stationary problems, where long-term system evolution follows integer PDE, but short-term evolution does not!

#### One of many definitions of a fractional derivative

Fractional derivative of power  $\alpha$  with *n* as nearest integer greater than  $\alpha$  is following (RiemannLiouville fractional derivative):

$${}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}f(t) = \frac{d^{n}}{dt^{n}}{}_{a}D_{t}^{-(n-\alpha)}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)}\frac{d^{n}}{dt^{n}}\int_{a}^{t}\frac{f(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\alpha+1-n}}d\tau.$$
 (1)

Fractional derivatives lead to dense matrices even for uniform discretizations.

## Why Hierarchical Matrix Market? Application-wise.



There are many formats of hierarchical matrices: e.g., HODLR, HSS,  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}^2$ . The variety of software libraries is even greater: hlib, hlibpro, h2tools, strumpack, hicma, kifmm, pvfmm, .... Every library and every format have its own advantages and disadvantages.

Assume you have your own application. An opportunity and challenge is to select such software library, that fits given hardware best.

#### Goal of STARS-H

Provide comparison of a set of software libraries for a given application on a given hardware.

## Why Hierarchical Matrix Market? Computation-wise.



There are many formats of hierarchical matrices: e.g., HODLR, HSS,  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}^2$ . The variety of software libraries is even greater: hlib, hlibpro, h2tools, strumpack, hicma, kifmm, pvfmm, .... Every library and every format have its own advantages and disadvantages.

Assume you have your own software library. An opportunity and challenge is to compare against other software, since there is no standard set of tests.

#### Goal of STARS-H

Provide a set of applications to standardize comparison of hierarchical libraries.

## $\mathcal{H}$ -Matrix Market in an HPC Framework

Serving as an  $\mathcal{H}$ -matrix market means not only problem setting, but also  $\mathcal{H}$ -matrix generation, depending on

#### **1** clustering discrete elements

- Partitioning (format, admissibility)
- accuracy threshold



Figure: Clusters of "particles".

Not every hierarchical library is about approximation from dense. A lot of research is about operations with hierarchical matrices.

#### Goal of STARS-H

Enable standardized input for computations of different  $\mathcal H\text{-matrix}$  libraries on every supported hardware and do it in an HPC manner.

April 23, 2018 10 / 13

250 115 68 34 27 32 22 23 20 16	250 104 64 33 27 33 25 25 23 19	250 77 69 48 44 53 42 38 34 33	250 89 65 40 35 43 35 33 30 28
115 250 107 43 36 79 35 33 25 20	104 250 97 39 34 72 36 35 27 23	77 250 77 47 48 68 56 57 46 34	89 250 84 40 40 69 47 46 37 30
68 107 250 104 69 69 36 48 33 23	64 97 250 94 64 62 34 45 35 25	69 77 250 75 68 55 43 55 57 38	65 84 250 82 64 54 37 47 46 33
34 43 104 250 111 28 23 36 35 22	33 39 94 250 100 27 21 34 36 25	48 47 75 250 75 33 27 43 56 42	40 40 82 250 87 27 22 37 47 35
27 36 69 111 250 37 28 69 79 32	27 34 64 100 250 34 27 62 72 33	44 48 68 75 250 40 33 55 68 53	35 40 64 87 250 31 27 54 69 43
32 79 69 28 37 250 111 69 36 27	33 72 62 27 34 250 100 64 34 27	53 68 55 33 40 250 75 68 48 44	43 69 54 27 31 250 87 64 40 35
22 35 36 23 28 111 250 104 43 34	25 36 34 21 27 100 250 94 39 33	42 56 43 27 33 75 250 75 47 48	35 47 37 22 27 87 250 82 40 40
23 33 48 36 69 69 104 250 107 68	25 35 45 34 62 64 94 250 97 64	38 57 55 43 55 68 75 250 77 69	33 46 47 37 54 64 82 250 84 65
20 25 22 25 70 26 42 107 250 115		34 46 57 56 68 48 47 77 250 77	30 37 46 47 60 40 40 84 250 80
16 20 23 33 33 19 30 43 101 230 113		34 40 31 30 03 40 41 11 230 11	30 31 40 41 05 40 40 61 250 85
10 20 23 22 32 27 34 08 113 230	19 23 20 20 33 21 33 04 104 200	33 34 35 42 33 44 45 09 11 230	28 30 33 33 43 33 40 03 89 200
(a) $\frac{1}{r}, \tau = 10^{-9}$	(b) Exp., $\tau = 10^{-9}$	(C) Sqr.exp., $\tau = 10^{-9}$	(d) Matérn, $\tau = 10^{-9}$
52 250 50 12 10 21 9 9 7 5	44 250 42 12 0 26 10 10 8 7	28 23 27 14 15 22 17 16 12 0	20 41 28 13 13 21 15 15 13 0
24 50 250 49 24 28 10 14 9 6	21 42 250 41 21 23 9 13 10 8	23 27 34 26 22 17 13 16 16 10	21 28 43 27 21 18 11 14 15 9
		15 14 26 22 11 16 16 16 16	12 12 27 40 28 8 7 11 15 11
7 10 24 50 250 11 8 28 31 8	8 0 21 41 250 10 7 23 26 10	13 15 22 27 20 12 0 17 22 15	11 12 21 28 20 10 8 18 21 14
9 91 99 9 11 950 50 94 10 7	10 06 02 7 10 050 41 01 0 0	15 22 17 0 12 20 27 22 15	14 01 18 0 10 00 00 01 10 11
	8 10 9 6 7 41 250 41 12 9	12 17 13 8 9 27 33 26 14 15	
6 9 14 10 28 24 49 250 50 24	8 10 13 9 23 21 41 230 42 21		9 15 14 11 18 21 27 43 28 21
5 7 9 9 31 10 13 50 250 52	7 8 10 10 26 9 12 42 250 44	9 13 16 17 22 15 14 27 33 28	9 13 15 15 21 13 13 28 41 29
5 5 6 6 8 7 9 24 52 250	6 7 8 8 10 8 9 21 44 250	9 9 10 12 15 13 15 23 28 31	9 9 9 11 14 11 13 21 29 39
$\left(e\right)  \tfrac{1}{r},  \tau = 10^{-4}$	(f) Exp., $\tau = 10^{-4}$	(g) Sqr.exp., $\tau = 10^{-4}$	$\left( h  ight)$ Matérn, $ au = 10^{-4}$

April 23, 2018 11 / 13

#### TLR on a Distributed-Memory System



Dependence of time-to-approximate on matrix size. Up to **9 millions DOFs**, up to **6084** nodes ( $\approx$  200000 cores).

# Thank you for attention!



A. Mikhalev, H. Ltaief, D. Keyes (KAUST)

STARS-H: an HPC H-matrix market